

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 00433 01 OF 02 261714Z POSS DUPE
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-07
NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00
SAJ-01 OMB-01 NSC-05 H-01 /067 W
-----261812Z 054163 /46

R 261541Z JAN 77
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1352
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO CINCLANT
USCINCEUR
USLOSACLANT
USNMR SHAPE

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 0433

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: MPOL, NATO
SUBJECT: DRC MEETING JANUARY 25: AGENDA ITEM IIA-CONDUCT OF
THE 1977 DEFENSE REVIEW

REF: USNATO 0361 (DTG 211710Z JAN 77)

SUMMARY: ON JANUARY 25, THE DRC DISCUSSED CHAIRMAN MUMFORD'S
NOTE ON THE CONDUCT OF THE 1977 DEFENSE REVIEW (DRC/N(77)3-
REFTEL). THE CANADIAN REP (MACNAB) WAS THE ONLY NATIONAL REP
WITH INSTRUCTIONS ON THE NOTE. FOR THE MOST PART, DISCUSSION
CENERED ON PARA 3 OF MUMFORD'S NOTE, WHICH ASKS THAT
DELEGATIONS QUOTE REPORT ON WHAT SPECIAL STEPS ARE BEING TAKEN
IN THEIR CAPITALS TO GIVE SUBSTANCE TO THE SPECIAL CHARACTER
OF THE 1977 REVIEW. END QUOTE. THE DRC ACQUIESCED IN MUMFORD'S
SUGGESTION THAT HE PUT THE SUBJECT ON A DRC AGENDA IN THE NEAR
FUTURE, PROBABLY MID-FEBRUARY, THOUGH SEVERAL REPS EXPRESSED
RESERGATIONS AS TO THE TIMING AND THE UTILITY OF SUCH
REPORTS. ACTION REQUESTED: BY FEBRUARY 14, GUIDANCE
ON QUOTE SPECIAL STEPS END QUOTE THE US IS TAKING TO
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 00433 01 OF 02 261714Z POSS DUPE

GIVE SUBSTANCE TO THE SPECIAL CHARACTER OF THE 1977
DEFENSE REVIEW (PARA 3-REFTEL). END SUMMARY.

1. OPENING DISCUSSION, CHAIRMAN MUMFORD CALLED
ATTENTION TO THE NOTE HE HAD CIRCULATED ON THE CONDUCT
OF THE 1977 DEFENSE REVIEW (DRC/N(77)3-REFTEL),
REMARKING THT IT CONTAINED A FEW IDEAS FOR INJECTING
A NEW NOTE OF URGENCY INTO THE 1977 REVIEW AND
SOME SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE SCOPE OF
THE REVIEW.

2. THE CANADIAN REP (MACNAB) LED OFF DRC COMMENT WITH A LENGTHY (BY DRC STANDARDS) AND SUBSTANTIVE INTERVENTION DRAWN FROM INSTRUCTIONS FROM OTTAWA. OTTAWA BELIEVES THAT THE THRUST OF DRC WORK SHOULD BE TO DECIDE HOW BEST TO INDUCE NATIONS TO DO MORE TO COMPENSATE SHORTFALLS THAT EMERGED IN THE COURSE OF THE 1976 REVIEW. FOR ITS PART, CANADA IS NOW ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN PRELIMINARY WORK ON A NEW FIGHTER AIRCRAFT AND SHIP REPLACEMENT PROGRAMS, VIEWING WORK IN THESE AREAS AS OF HIGHEST PRIORITY. IN ADDITION, OTTAWA CONTINUES TO STUDY SERIOUSLY ALL OTHER FORCE GOALS AND INTENDS TO DO WHATEVER IS POSSIBLE TO MEET THEM. WHAT IS NEEDED IS A FRESH IMPETUS FROM THE DRC, IS, AND CAPITALS, INCLUDING STEPS TO BE TAKEN BOTH WITHIN AND OUTSIDE THE FORMAL DEFENSE PLANNING PROCESS. EXAMPLES OF INITIATIVES WHICH COULD BE CONSIDERED ARE:

A. STREAMLINING THE NATO DEFENSE PLANNING PROCESS TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR INCORPORATION INTO NATIONAL PLANS;

B. CAPITALIZING MORE EFFICIENTLY ON PUBLIC OPINION THROUGH BETTER USE OF INFORMATION PROGRAMS AND UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION;

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 00433 01 OF 02 261714Z POSS DUPE

C. PROVIDING MORE INFORMATION TO GOVERNMENTS AT REGULAR INTERVALS AND AT HIGH LEVELS IN ORDER THAT THEY MAY COME TO APPRECIATE BETTER NATO CONCERNS AND REQUIREMENT FOR ADEQUATE DEFENSE PROGRAMS;

D. CLOSER INVOLVEMENT OF MINISTERS AND PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES IN ALL STAGES OF THE DEFENSE PLANNING PROCESS;

E. CLEARER DELINEATION OF HIGHEST PRIORITY GOALS AND METHODS FOR STANDARDIZATION, RATIONALIZATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TO ENSURE THE MOST EFFECTIVE USE OF ALLIANCE RESOURCES.

COMMENT: THE CANADIAN REP DESCRIBED OTTAWA'S RESPONSE AS QUOTE UNCHARACTERISTIC END QUOTE BOTH AS REGARDS TIMELINESS AND EPTH OF CONTENT. WE CONCUR IN THIS DESCRIPTION, AND HOPE IT REFLECTS A NEW SERIOUSNESS OF INTENT AND PURPOSE AMONG CANADIAN DEFENSE PLANNERS.

END COMMENT.

3. THE UK REP (PETERS) INDICATED HIS SATISFACTION WITH THE CONTENT OF THE CHAIRMAN'S NOTE AND ASKED MERELY FOR

EARLY NOTICE OF ANY CHANGES OR REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN THE 1977 DPQ. HE REMARKED THAT MINISTER MULLEY HAD RAISED THE POSSIBILITY OF PROVIDING THE SPECIAL NATO INTELLIGENCE BRIEFING TO OPPOSITION LEADERS, AND, REFERRING TO THE EVANS-NOVAK ARTICLE IN THE JANUARY 25 HERALD TRIBUNE, COMMENTED THAT THE HUGHES BRIEFING SEEMED TO HAVE HAD A REMARKABLE EFFECT ON THE US CONGRESS.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 NATO 00433 02 OF 02 261721Z POSS DUPE
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-07
NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00
SAJ-01 OMB-01 NSC-05 H-01 /067 W
-----261812Z 054270 /46

R 261541Z JAN 77
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1353
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO CINCLANT
USCINCEUR
USLOSACLANT
USNMR SHAPE

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 0433

4. ON A PERSONAL BASIS, THE US REP (BADER) SAID THAT THE CANADIAN INITIATIVE, WITHOU COMMENTING ON ITS SUBSTANTIVE MERITS, SEEMED TO SET THE RIGHT TONE. REFERRING TO PARAGRAPH 3 OF MUMFORD'S NOTE, HE ASKED WHAT TIMEFRAME MUMFORD HAD IN MIND FOR REPORTS FROM CAPITALS ON QUOTE SPECIAL STEPS END QUOTE BEING TAKEN TO GIVE SUBSTANCE TO THE SPECIAL CHARACTER OF THE 1977 REVIEW. MUMFORD INDICATED THAT A TOUKRDE TABLE MIGHT BE POSSIBLE AT A DRC MEETING IN A FEW WEEKS TIME. SEVERAL REPS (US, FRG-GEN SCHUENEMANN, NE-WYNAENDTS, DE-BELLING) EXPRESSED RESERVATIONS AS TO THE TIMING AND THE UTILITY OF REPORTS ON QUOTE SPECIAL STEPS END QUOTE BUT EVENTUALLY ACQUIESCED IN MUMFORD'S SUGGESTION THAT THE SUBJECT BE PUT ON A DRC AGENDA IN THE NEAR FUTURE, PROBABLY MID-FEBRUARY.

5. THE BELGIAN REP (GEN TAYMANS) STATED THAT WHILE HE HAD NOT YET RECEIVED FROM HIS AUTHORITIES ANY REACTION TO MUMFORD' NOTE, HE HAD IDENTIFIED CERTAIN DIFFICULTIES. HE NOTED THAT BELGIUM HAD ALREADY
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 00433 02 OF 02 261721Z POSS DUPE

UNDERTAKEN SOME LONG-RANGE ENGAGEMENTS FOR FUNDS AND WAS ALREADY FULFILLING ITS PLEDGE TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING FOUR PERCENT ANNUALLY IN REAL TERMS. TO EXISTING PLANS, NATO NOW PROPOSED ADDING UNFORESEEN EXPENDITURES RELATED TO INFRASTRUCTURE AUGMENTATION AND A NATO AEW PROGRAM. IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES, IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO MODIFY BELGIUM'S PLANS FUNDAMENTALLY, HENCE IT MIGHT BE UNREALISTIC TO EXPECT THE 1977 DEFENSE REVIEW TO BE FUNDAMENTALLY DIFFERENT FROM PREVIOUS REVIEWS. MUMFORD REPLIED THAT NO ONE WAS UNDERESTIMATING THE DIFFICULTIES OF GIVING A SPECIAL CHARACTER TO THE 1977 DEFENSE REVIEW, BUT ONE SHOULD NOT BE TOO PESSIMISTIC THIS EARLY IN THE GAME. IN HIS VIEW, NATO WAS ON TRIAL, AND PUBLIC OPINION AND PARLIAMENTS WOULD BE LOOKING TO SEE IF NATO WAS CAPABLE OF REACTING TO TIMELY WARNINGS; AT THE DECEMBER 1976 MEETING, THE MILITARY AUTHORITIES HAD STRESSED NOT THAT NATO WAS IN A CRISIS STATE CURRENTLY, BUT RATHER THAT THE TRENDS WERE ALARMING.

6. THE SHAPE REP (GEN SCHWEITZER) ECHOED THE CONCERNS MUMFORD HAD EXPRESSED IN DRC/N(77)3. TO REINFORCE MUMFORD'S COMMENT ON THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE THREAT, HE RECALLED THE STATEMENT IN THE SHAPE 1976 SUITABILITY AND RISK ASSESSMENT TAHT QUOTE FOR THE PERIOD UNDER REVIEW, THE STRATEGY IS JEOPARDIZED BECAUSE OF THE GROWING IMBALANCE BETWEEN THE CONVENTIONAL FORCE OF NATO AND THOSE OF THE WARSAW PACT...THE CREDIBILITY OF OUR FORCES AS A DETERRENT WILL FAIL IF THE PRESENT AND PROJECTED DISPARITY IN FORCES IS ALLOWED TO GO UNCORRECTED. END QUOTE. HE MUSED THAT ONLY HISTORY WOULD TELL US WHETHER NATO WAS CURRENTLY IN A CRISIS SITUATION, AND THAT PERHAPS NATO WAS ALREADY IN WARNING TIME. HE ADDED THAT CONCERNED VOICES WERE BEGINNING TO QUESTION WHETHER THE NATO STRATEGY WOULD WORK. THE STRATEGY ITSELF WAS NOT BEING QUESTIONED, BUT ONLY ITS FEASIBILITY, GIVEN CURRENT NATO FORCES AND
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 00433 02 OF 02 261721Z POSS DUPE

PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS.

7. ACTION REQUESTED: BY FEBRUARY 14, GUIDANCE ON QUOTE SPECIAL STEPS END QUOTE THE US IS TAKING TO GIVE SUBSTANCE TO THE SPECIAL CHARACTER OF THE 1977 DEFENSE REVIEW (PARA 3-REFTEL).STRAUSZ-HUPE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 30-Aug-1999 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 26-Jan-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977NATO00433
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Expiration:
Film Number: n/a
Format: TEL
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770191/baaabbld.tel
Line Count: 212
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Message ID: 2f9484de-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: USNATO 0361 (DTG 211710Z JAN 77)
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 29-Sep-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3680858
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: DRC MEETING JANUARY 25: AGENDA ITEM IIA-CONDUCT OF THE 1977 DEFENSE REVIEW
TAGS: MPOL, NATO
To: STATE SECDEF MULTIPLE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/2f9484de-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009